

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 CASE CLOSED

8 **United States District Court**
9 **Central District of California**

10 RUFINA MOLINA; ESTATE OF LUIS
11 MARTINEZ,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 CITY OF LOS ANGELES; RICARD
15 HUERTA; RUDOLPH RIVERA; ALDO
QUINTERO; and DOES 1–10, inclusive,

16 Defendants.

17 Case № 2:16-cv-01293-ODW(ASx)

18 **JUDGMENT**

19 On February 24, 2016, Plaintiffs Rufina Molina and Estate of Luis Martinez (by
20 and through its successor, Rufina Molina) filed a Complaint against Defendants City
21 of Los Angeles, Ricardo Huerta, Rudolph Rivera, and Aldo Quintero (collectively,
22 “Defendants”). (ECF No. 1.) On March 7, 2017, the Court granted Defendants’
Motion for Summary Judgment.

23 It is therefore **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** as follows:

24 1. Plaintiffs Rufina Molina and Estate of Luis Martinez shall recover
25 nothing from Defendants, and their claims against Defendants are dismissed on the
26 merits and with prejudice; and


27 //

28 //

1 2. Defendants shall recover their costs from Plaintiffs as evidenced by a Bill
2 of Costs.

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 March 7, 2017

7
8 

9 **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28